Molecular confirmation of New Zealand garlic yellow streak virus as *Leek yellow stripe virus*

T. Wei^A, M. N. Pearson^{A,C} and J. D. Fletcher^B

^ASchool of Biological Sciences, The University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand. ^BNew Zealand Institute for Crop and Food Research, Christchurch, New Zealand. ^CCorresponding author. Email: m.pearson@auckland.ac.nz

Abstract. Garlic yellow streak virus (GYSV) was first reported in New Zealand by Mohamed and Young in 1981 as a new potyvirus distinct from *Onion yellow dwarf virus* (OYDV) and *Leek yellow stripe virus* (LYSV), based on host range and serological differences. Recent examination of garlic showing the typical yellow streak symptoms, using serological and molecular methods, clearly identifies the associated virus as a strain of LYSV.

Additional keyword: Allium sativum.

Introduction

Mohamed and Young (1981) reported that many Allium sativum (garlic) plants in New Zealand exhibited yellow streak symptoms and identified the cause as a Potyvirus which they named garlic yellow streak virus (GYSV). They concluded that GYSV was a distinct virus from two other Allium potyviruses, Onion yellow dwarf virus (OYDV) and Leek yellow stripe virus (LYSV), based on their different host range and serological reactions. More recently, Fletcher and Davison (J. D. Fletcher and J. E. B. Davison, unpublished data) surveyed 16 garlic crops in New Zealand and concluded that GYSV was serologically related to OYDV. In contrast, Van Dijk (1993), who used serology to test and characterise potyviruses from 5700 Allium plants, representing 22 species from the Netherlands and other parts of the world, concluded that garlic yellow streak disease was probably caused by a mixture of OYDV and LYSV.

The viruses infecting garlic have been widely studied over the past three decades (Walkey and Antill 1989; Helguera 1997; Lot 1998; Conci *et al.* 2002, 2003). However, identification based on traditional methods, such as serology, host range and symptoms has sometimes resulted in controversial or incorrect conclusions for particular isolates (Mohamed and Young 1981; Atreya 1992; Conci *et al.* 1992; Riechmann *et al.* 1992; Tsuneyoshi *et al.* 1998; Chen *et al.* 2001; J. D. Fletcher and J. E. B. Davison, unpublished data). In contrast, sequence comparison provides a more definitive tool for pathogen classification and identification (Atreya 1992; Riechmann *et al.* 1992; Tsuneyoshi *et al.* 1998; Chen *et al.* 2001), especially for potyviruses where serological cross-reactions are common. For RT–PCR of uncharacterised potyviruses there are a number of universal primers, including PV2I/T7 and PV1/SP6 (Mackenzie *et al.* 1998) which amplify a 1.7–2.1 kb fragment across the NIb gene (RNA-dependent RNA polymerase), coat protein gene and 3' UTR regions, plus U335 and D335 (Langeveld *et al.* 1991) that amplify a fragment of \sim 335 bp from the central part of the coat protein gene.

We analysed field-grown garlic showing typical GYSV symptoms from Pukekohe (New Zealand) by ELISA, RT–PCR and sequencing to compare GYSV with LYSV and OYDV.

Methods

Source of plant material

Garlic leaves with typical yellow streak symptoms were collected from the field at Pukekohe, Auckland, New Zealand in November 2003, freeze-dried, and stored at 4°C until used. Dried leaf tissue of *Allium porrum* (leek) infected with *Leek yellow stripe virus* and *A. cepa* (onion) infected with *Onion yellow dwarf virus* were provided by Dr John D. Fletcher, Crop & Food Research, New Zealand. Leaves of *Colocasia esculenta* (taro) infected with *Dasheen mosaic virus* (DsMV), healthy taro, *Cucurbita pepo* subsp. *pepo* (zucchini) and *Nicotiana benthamiana*, used as positive and negative controls, were obtained from plants maintained in the greenhouse.

Virus purification

Viruses were partially purified from garlic leaves with obvious yellow streak symptoms, using the method of Pearson *et al.* (1994) with minor modifications. The leaves were ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen using a pestle and mortar and then homogenised in 200 mM sodium borate buffer (pH 8.0) containing 0.3% mercaptoethanol. After

centrifugation at 2000 g for 10 min, the supernatant was mixed with an equal volume of chloroform, and Triton X-100 was added to a final concentration of 5% (v/v). The mixture was stirred at 4°C for 30 min, centrifuged at 10 000 g for 20 min and the supernatant further centrifuged at 250 000 g for 2 h. The resultant pellet was re-suspended in 50 mM sodium borate buffer (pH 8.0) for 2 h at 4°C, and then centrifuged at 10 000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was centrifuged at 250 000 g for 2 h through a 200 g/L sucrose cushion. The resultant pellet, containing extracted virus, was re-suspended in sterile distilled water and stored at -80° C.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

The following antisera were used: *Potyvirus* universal monoclonal antibody (Agdia Inc., Elkhart, Indiana, USA); OYDV polyclonal antiserum (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany); GYSV and LYSV polyclonal antisera produced by Mohamed and Young (1981) (provided by Dr Francisco Ochoa-Corona, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry of New Zealand).

Partially purified virus and sap extracts from virus-infected garlic leaves were tested for potyviruses by antigen-coated plate– enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ACP–ELISA) using the *Potyvirus* universal monoclonal antibody (McAb) according to the manufacturer's protocol. For GYSV, LYSV and OYDV, the same protocol was used with virus specific polyclonal antibodies. Healthy leaves of taro, zucchini, and *N. benthamiana* were used as negative controls. DsMV-infected taro, LYSV-infected leek and OYDV-infected onion were used as positive controls. All samples were tested in duplicate and optical density (OD) values were read at 405 nm. Readings with an A₄₀₅ value more than three times the mean of the negative controls were considered positive.

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from a single freeze-dried infected garlic leaf using an RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN Sciences, Maryland, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions. Virus cDNA was synthesised from $1-3 \mu g$ of total RNA and $5 \mu L$ of purified GYSV using M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Canada) and an oligo $d(T)_{17}$ primer PV1/SP6 (Mackenzie *et al.* 1998).

PCR, cloning and sequencing

PCR products were generated using potyvirus universal primers PV2I/T7 and PV1/SP6 (Mackenzie *et al.* 1998) which amplifies a fragment of \sim 1.7–2.1 kb, and primers U335 and D335 (Langeveld *et al.* 1991) which amplify a fragment of \sim 335 bp from the central conserved region of coat protein gene. In addition, the primer combinations PV2IT7/D335 and U335/PV1SP6 were used to amplify two fragments, of G13 (\sim 1.3 kb) and G10 (\sim 1.0 kb) with an overlap of \sim 335 bp.

The 25- μ L PCR reaction mixture contained reaction buffer, 2.5 mM of MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTP, 1 unit of Taq polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA), and 5 pmol of each primer. The following cycling parameters were used: 94°C for 3 min, 30 cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 56°C for 45 s, 72°C for 90 s, followed by a 6 min extension at 72°C. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on 1% agarose–TBE gels and detected by ethidium bromide staining.

PCR products were purified from the agarose gel using a PerfectPrep Gel Cleanup Kit (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and cloned into the vector pGEM–T Easy (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA), then transformed to Max Efficiency DH5 α chemically competent cells (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Canada), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Ten white colonies were selected from each transformation and were screened for an insert by PCR using T7 and SP6 primers. Plasmids with insert were extracted using a FastPlasmid Mini Kit (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and the insert sequenced using M13F and M13R primers.

Phylogenetic analysis

Nucleotide sequences of the NZ garlic virus isolates were compared with selected LYSV and OYDV sequences from GenBank. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using BioEdit version 7.0.4 (Hall 1999), ClustalX version 1.83 (Thompson *et al.* 1997) and PAUP version 4.0 Beta 10 (Swofford 2000). Neighbour-joining trees were constructed using *Oat mosaic virus* as the outgroup sequence. Putative coat protein amino acid sequences of garlic isolates were compared with GenBank LYSV coat protein sequences using BioEdit.

Results

ELISA

The ELISA results are summarised in Table 1. As anticipated, all of the virus-infected samples reacted positively with the *Potyvirus* McAb. Both GYSV-infected garlic sap and purified GYSV reacted strongly with the antisera to GYSV and LYSV, but not with antiserum to OYDV (purified GYSV gave a marginal positive). LYSV-infected leek sap reacted strongly with LYSV and GYSV antiserum but only weakly with the OYDV antiserum. The OYDV-infected onion sap reacted strongly with the OYDV antiserum, weakly with the LYSV antiserum (marginal positive) but not with the GYSV antiserum.

PCR, cloning and sequence analysis

Using primer sets PV2IT7/D335 and U335/PV1SP6, two overlapping fragments of ~ 1.3 kb (G13) and ~ 1.0 kb (G10) were amplified, from both total RNA extracted from four individual plants and purified GYSV from bulked material from more than ten plants (Fig. 1). A minimum of three clones were sequenced for each fragment from each plant, in total 26 G10 and 32 G13 clones were sequenced.

Alignment of the G13 and G10 sequences revealed two distinct nucleotide sequences of 2085 bp and 2099 bp, designated as nzLYSVg1 (GenBank accession: AY842134)

Table 1. Reaction of GYSV, LYSV, and OYDV to various antisera

Antigens	Mean ELISA A_{405} after two hours						
	Potyvirus	GYSV	LYSV	OYDV			
	McAb	antiserum	antiserum	antiserum			
GYSV-infected garlic sap	0.204	0.396	0.327	0.012			
Purified GYSV	0.948	1.614	1.085	0.117			
LYSV-infected leek sap	2.554	0.779	1.071	0.227			
OYSV-infected onion sap	0.881	0.026	0.116	0.572			
DsMV-infected taro sap	0.997	0.065	0.078	0.090			
Healthy taro sap	0.032	0.022	0.073	0.077			
Healthy zucchini sap	0.056	0.022	0.030	0.029			
Healthy N. benthamiana sap	0.032	0.067	0.052	0.026			



Fig. 1. Potyvirus PCR products G13 and G10 amplified from garlic using primer sets PV2IT7/D335 and U335/PV1SP6, respectively.

and nzLYSVg2 (GenBank accession: AY842136), respectively. Both sequence variants were found in all four of the individual plants and the purified virus from the bulked sample. The similarity of the nucleotide sequences of nzLYSVg1 and nzLYSVg2 is 83.4%, whereas the similarity of their putative amino acid sequences is 85%. The phylogenetic comparison showed that both sequences have a high level of similarity (77.4–96.8%) to isolates of LYSV from GenBank and a low similarity (34.8–48.8%) to OYDV isolates. A neighbour-joining tree (1000 bootstrap replicates) showed that both of the garlic isolates were clustered closely with isolates of LYSV (Fig. 2).

The putative amino acid sequences for the NIb and coat protein genes of nzLYSVg1 and nzLYSVg2 were compared with that of other LYSV from GenBank. The N-terminal amino acid sequences of the coat protein were very variable (Fig. 3), with nzLYSVg2 possessing three amino acids (positions 27–29 of the N-terminal region) not



- OMVaf314536

Fig. 2. Neighbour-joining tree for nzLYSVg1, nzLYSVg2 sequences from NZ garlic and LYSV, OYSV sequences from GenBank. *Oat mosaic virus* (OMV, GenBank accession # AF314536) was used as the outgroup (numbers on branches are bootstrap values, 1000 replicates).

		10	20	30	40	50 	60	70
pnzLYSVg1 381cp	1	AGEELDAGISANKN	HKSSADKAN	EORGSLTS	OTCNOTOVOS	BLSTRKDRDV	NVGTTGTFSVP	RIKQ
pnzLYSVg2_495cp	1	ANDELDAGMOTSKI	OKDGNDKSI	EORDPTSSOVS	SLGKKDGEGS:	SGTSRNKDRDV	NIGTTGTFSVP	RIKQ
LYSVc1_aaq91386	1	ELDAGTQASKN	IORNNADKSI	EQGSPLVSQTRI	LNVGKGSGSS	SGLNVNRDRDV	NVGTTGTFSVP	RIKQ
LYSVc2_cac83687	1	AGEEFDAGAQANKN	IOKSGADKAI	EQRNPSTSOAS	THGKNDSS-S	SELSIGKDEDV	NVGTTGTFSVP	RIKQ
LYSVc3_baa24798	1	AGDELDAGMOTNKI	OKDNTDKSI	EORNPTAPRS	TQSDKDSGGG	S BL S GTKDRDV	NVGTTGTFSVP	RIKQ
LYSVc4_caa61855	1	-GDELDAGMOTNKI	OTDNTDKSI	EORSPVAPRTS	TQGGNDGGSS	S BL S GTKORDV	NVGTTGTFSVP	RIKQ
LYSVc5_aan15164	1	AGEEUDAGTQUNKN	QKSSADKSI	EORNPPTSOAT	VHERSDGSGS	SDL STGKORDV	NVGTTGTFSVP	RIKQ
LYSVc6_baa01892	1	ANDELDAGMOTSKI	OKDGNDKSI	EQROPASSOVS	SLCKKDCEGG	SGMSRNKORDV	NVGTTGTFSVP	RIKQ
LYSVc7_aam08413	1	N	LXXQVRDRX	AXRNPLVSQTS:	THEKNESEDN	SAL THEKDROV	NVGTTGTFSVP	RIKQ
LYSVc8_baa24797	1	AGDELDAGTOVNES	OKASADKST	EQREPLYSOAS	THENCOVSTS	SPASIERDRDV	NVGTTGTFSVP	RIKQ
LYSVc9_baa24796	1	AGEELDAGTQASKS	SRSNTDRST	EORREAPTOTS	IGCR:ODD-N	SDLSSSRDRDV	NVGTTGTFSVP	RIKQ
LYSVc11_cac84169	1	AGEELDAGTQASKN	IORNINSDKSL	EURRPLASUIS	ngerndessg	IDLSHGKDRDV	NVGTTGTFSVP	RIKQ
LYSVc12_cac84168	1	AGEELDSGTQASK	UKNN ADKSV	EURSPPEPUTS	TUERDDEESS	TDHSAGRDRDV	NVGTTGTFSVP	RIKŲ
LYSVc13_cac84167	1	AGEELDAGTUARKS	SRGYADKSI	EURRETPTUAS	ISGRRDGD-S	SDL SSSRDRDV	NVGTTGTFSVP	RIKŲ
LYSVC14_cac84166	1	AGEELDAG QANKA	UKSCADKAT	EURRESVSUAS	AHERSDES-S	SIGL SAIGRDRDA	NAGLIGIEZAS	RIKŲ
LYSVC15_CaC84165	1	AGEELDAGTUASKA	ARSSADKST	EURRETPEUTS	I SERVICE-R	SUSHSRKURDV	NVGITGTESVP	RIKŲ
LYSVC16_CaC85226	1	ACCEPT DA CTOA SK	INSUMPRET	EQROPS ISUAS		SIL SEGRETADA	NUCTTOTESUP	RIKU
LYSVC17_Cac85182	1	ACCELDAGIQASIAS	OPUDADVST	EQROPATIONS	NEARCOCCC	SCONVOIDADA	NUCTTOTESUP	RIKU
LISVCI8_aag48241	-	A COFT DA CLOUDIN	OVDUTDVST	FORSPLUSTIN	INCOMPOSESS.	SU SCANDROV	NUCTTOTESUP	RIKU
L13VC19_aag32146	T	ACCUSED/ACCAULTAR	UKUHI DASI	BURSEWAPRES.	ngoonbeess.	551.501R0R0V	NVGI I GIE SVE	KIKŲ
		80	90	100	110	120	130	140
pnzLYSVal 381cp	68	TPOKCMAJIPNECCI	(SULNLDHLT	OYKPSOLCISN	TRATEVOFNE	MERVOREYGY	TRESENSITIENG	LMVW
pnzLYSVg2 495cp	71	IPOKGISIPHDGGH	SILNLDHLL	OYKPROLAISN	TRATEAOFET	MERVOEDYGV	TREEMGIILNG	LMVW
LYSVc1 aag91386	68	IPOKGIVIPHDGG	SILNLDHLL	OYKPSOLCISN	TRATEAOF	KARLOEEYGV	SESEMSTILNG	LMVW
LYSVc2 cac83687	70	ISOKGIAIPHDGB	SILNLDHLL	YKPSQLCISN	TRATRIOF	KARLODEYGV	TASEMSIILNG	LMVW
LYSVc3 baa24798	71	IPOKGISIPHDGGR	SILNLDHLL	OYKPSOLNISN	TRATTAQLET	IERVOEDYGV	TKDDMGVILNG	LNVW
LYSVc4 caa61855	70	IPQKGISIPHDGGR	SILTLDHLL	QYKPSQLNISN	TRATAQLET	IERVQEDYGV	TKDDNGVILLNG	LMVW
LYSVc5_aan15164	71	ISOKGIAIPHDGGR	SULNLDHLL	QYKPSQLCISN:	TRSTRAOFIA	KARLODEYGV	TASEMSTILNG	LMVW
LYSVc6 baa01892	71	IPQKGISIPHDGGR	SILNLDHLL	OAKBHOTHISM.	TRATUAOFIKT	MERVQEDYGV	TRGENGIILNG	LMVW
LYSVc7_aam08413	59	IPHKGIAIPHDGGR	SILNLDHLL	QYKPSQLCISN:	TRATRIQE	KAKLOEEYGV	TESEMS DILNG	LMVW
LYSVc8_baa24797	71	ISRKGLAIPHDGGR	SILNLDHLL	QAKB 201 AI 2N	TRATRAOFAS	RTRLOEEYGV	TDGENISTILLNG	LMVW
LYSVc9_baa24796	70	ITOKGLSIPHDECK	(STERNED HERE	OAKB20TCI2N	TRATRAOFET	RSKLODEYGV	SDSDASVILING	L∐V₩
LYSVc11_cac84169	71	ISOKGIAIPHDGGR	SILNLDHLL	ÖAKBZÖTAIZM	TRATEAOF	KTRLQEEYGV	TASEMSIILNG	TWAM
LYSVc12_cac84168	71	ISOKGISIPTOKCK	SILNLDHLL	ŐAKÞZŐT <mark>Z</mark> IZM.	TRATOTOFIA	KARL QEEYGV	TEGENSIULNG	LMVW
LYSVc13_cac84167	70	ITÖKCULIMDECK	SULNLDHLL	ÖAKBZÖTCIZN	TRATRAOFUT	RSKLODEYGV	SESENSIIIING	LTAAM.
LYSVc14_cac84166	70	ISOKGIAIPHDGRE	SILNLDHLL	ØXKÞSØLCISN	TRATRIQFTA	RSRLODEYGV	TASERISTITURG	LMVW
LYSVc15_cac84165	70	THUKGISTPHDGGR	SALNEDHLL	UXKPSUICISN:	TRATRAUFRI	RSRLIDEYGV	SASEASTILAG	LTQAM
LYSVc16_cac85226	70	TSUKGIATPADGES	SILNLDHLL	MYRPSULCISM	RATRIUF	RARLODEYGV	TASENSTILAG	LINVW
LYSVc17_cac85182	70	TROVETATION	SULNEDHLL	UTEPSULCISH	TRATRAUFIST	RSRLUDEIGV	SUSENSVILING	LINA
LISVCI8_aag48241	71	TROVETSTRUCCK	STINDWI	OVER SULCISH	TRATICA OF ST	TERMONDYCY	TONDASTILNG	T DOUGS
LISVCI9_aag32146	/1	TEQUOISTENDOOR	SILNLDALL	OTHE SOLUTON	TRATUAQUERT		nobrovitino	LINVW
		150	160	170	180	190	200	210
pnzLYSVal 381cp	138	CIENGTSPNING	TRADGDEQV	EXPLREIVENA	PTLROIMAH	SALAEAYIEM	RNSEQAYMPRY	GLOR
pnzLYSVg2 495cp	141	CIENGTSPNINGTW	TIRDGDEQV	AVPLRSIVEHA	PTLROIMAH	SALAEAYIEM	RNSEQAYMPRY	GLQR
LYSVc1 aag91386	138	CIENGTSHNINGV	TIMDGEEQV	EFPLRPVVEHA	ULTLRQIKAH	SAFAEAYIEM	RNSEQAYMPRY	GLQR
LYSVc2 cac83687	140	CIENGTSPNINGVW	TIMDGEEQV	EFPLRPVVEHA	OPTLROIMAH	SALAEAYIEM	RNSEQAYMPRY	GLQR
LYSVc3 baa24798	141	CIENGTSPNINGRW	TIBECO	VYPLRPIVEHA	KPTLRQ IMAH	SALAEAYIEM	RNSEQAYMPRY	GLQR
LYSVc4 caa61855	140	CIENGTSPNINGT	TIBEOR	VYPLRPIVEHA	EPTLRQ IMAH	SALAEAYIEM	RNSEQAYMPRY	GLQR
LYSVc5_aan15164	141	CIENGTSPNINGV	TINDGEEQV	EFPLRPVVEHA	OPTLROIMAH	SALAEAYIEM	RNAEQAYMPRY	GLQR
LYSVc6_baa01892	141	CIENGTSPNING	LUBUD CDE (A	AYPLRPIVEHA	KPTLRQINAH	SALAEAYIEM	RNSEQAYMPRY	GLQR
LYSVc7_aam08413	129	CIENGTSPNINGVH	LUUDCDEOA	EFPLRPVVEHA	QPTLRQ IMAH	SALAEAYIEM	RNAEQAYMPRY	GLQR
LYSVc8_baa24797	141	CIENGTSPNINGV	THEOREGA	EFPLRPVVEHA	QPTLRQ IMAH	FSALAEAYIEM	RNAEQAYMPRY	GLQR
LYSVc9_baa24796	140	CIENGTSPNUNGVH	THEOREGA	EFPLRPVVEHA	QPTLRQ IMAH	SALAEAYIEM	RNSEQAYIPRY	GLQR
LYSVc11_cac84169	141	CIENGTSPNINGVH	THINDGEEQV	EFPLRPVVEHA	UPTLRQ IMAH	SALAEAYIEM	RNAEQAYMPRY	GLQR
LYSVc12_cac84168	141	CLENGTSPNINGV	THEDGEEQV	EFFLRPVVEHA	UPTLRUIMAH	SALAEAYIEM	READOWNERY	GLQR
LYSVc13_cac84167	140	CLENGTSPNINGV	THADGEEQV	EFFLRPVVEHA	UPTLRUIMTH	SALAEAYIEM	RNSEUAYMPRY	GLUR
LISVC14_Cac84166	140	CIENGISPHINGV	TRADGEEQV	EFPLRPVVEHA	OPTL ROIMAN	SALABATIEM	RANEUAMPRY	GLUR
LISVCID_CaC84165	140	CIENCISPHINGV	TRADGERUV	FEDI DDUUENA	OPTL ROTAN	SALALATIEN	RUSEQAIMPRY	CLOR
LISVCID_Cace5226	140	CIENCISPRINGV	TRADGEROV	EFPLICEVVERA	OPTI POTODO	SALALATIEN SALARATIEN	PUSEOAVODY	GL OB
LISVCI/_CACOJ182	141	CIENGTSPNINGW	TRADCEROV	EFPI PPVVENA	OPTI POTMAN	PSALAFAVIEN	PNSEDAVMPPV	GL 02
LISVCIO_ddy40241	141	CIENGTSPNING	TRADGDENT	DY-YBANWSHA	PTLROIMAN	SALAFAYIEM	RNSEGAYMPRY	GLOR

Fig. 3. Alignment of coat protein amino acid sequences of nzLYSVg1, nzLYSVg2 with selected LYSV isolates from GenBank.

present at the nzLYSVg1 sequence. In contrast the NIb gene sequences were highly conserved, as were the 3' UTR sequences (data not shown).

Discussion

Mohamed and Young (1981) found that in microprecipitin tests, purified GYSV reacted only weakly with OYDV and LYSV antisera, and LYSV from leek did not react with antisera to either OYDV or GYSV. On the basis of this serological evidence and host range data, they concluded that GYSV was distinct from OYDV and LYSV. However, GYSV was not subsequently accepted by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) as a new species (Van Regenmortel *et al.* 2000) and its precise identity remained unclear.

In our current investigation using ELISA, which is more sensitive than microprecipitin tests, both partially purified GYSV and leaf extracts from GYSV-infected garlic plants reacted strongly with the same GYSV and LYSV antisera used by Mohamed and Young (1981) but not with OYDV antiserum, indicating that GYSV is closely related to LYSV. The strong reaction between current samples of GYSV and the Mohamed and Young antiserum (produced in 1979) suggests that the virus has not changed substantially during the last 25 years.

Two distinct strains were found in all four of the individual plants and the purified virus sample showing that these two strains commonly co-exist in the field.

One of the properties of GYSV that led Mohamed and Young (1981) to conclude it was distinct from LYSV was their inability to infect leeks with GYSV. However, restricted host ranges are a common feature of potyviruses. Van Dijk (1993), in a review of *Allium* potyviruses, comments that poor infectivity on most other plant species is a common characteristic of garlic viruses, and Lot (1998) found that even different garlic cultivars demonstrated different susceptibility to LYSV.

Amino acid sequence of the coat protein, especially the N-terminal region, has proved useful in Potyvirus taxonomy (Shukla et al. 1988; Jordan 1989; Usugi et al. 1989: Riechmann et al. 1992) and on this basis our sequence data clearly identify both of the garlic yellow streak associated viruses as strains of LYSV (nzLYSVg1 and nzLYSVg2). Although LYSV has been recorded in garlic in the UK (Walkey et al. 1987) and in other countries (Van Dijk 1993; Barg et al. 1994; Yamashita et al. 1995; Conci 1997; Conci et al. 2002), the New Zealand garlic isolates are quite distinct from the other garlic LYSV sequences in GenBank. These differences may well be reflected in their biological properties, as various studies have shown that even point mutations in the potyviral genome can affect properties such as host range and symptom induction (Riechmann et al. 1992) as well as aphid transmissibility (Atreya et al. 1990, 1991).

In summary we conclude that the virus named garlic yellow streak virus by Mohamed and Young (1981), and to which they produced their antiserum, is in fact a strain of *Leek yellow streak virus*. We did not detect OYDV in any of our samples, but our results do not preclude the possibility that some garlic plants in New Zealand may also be infected by this virus.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Dave Saul, The University of Auckland, for help with sequence analysis, Dr John Scheffer, New Zealand Institute for Crop and Food Research, for providing garlic leaf samples, and Dr Francisco Ochoa-Corona, New Zealand Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, for providing antisera to GYSV, LYSV and OYDV.

References

- Atreya CD (1992) Application of genome sequence information in potyvirus taxonomy: an overview. Archives of Virology. Supplementum 5, 17–23.
- Atreya CD, Raccah B, Pirone TP (1990) A point mutation in the coat protein abolishes aphid transmissibility of a potyvirus. *Virology* **178**, 161–165. doi: 10.1016/0042-6822(90)90389-9
- Atreya PL, Atreya CD, Pirone TP (1991) Amino acid substitutions in the coat protein result in loss of insect transmissibility of a plant virus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **88**, 7887–7891.
- Barg E, Lesemann DE, Vetten HJ, Green SK (1994) Identification, partial characterization, and distribution of viruses infecting *Allium* crops in South and Southeast Asia. *ISHS Acta Horticulturae* **358**, 251–258.

- Chen J, Chen J, Adams MJ (2001) Molecular characterisation of a complex mixture of viruses in garlic with mosaic symptoms in China. *Archives of Virology* **146**, 1841–1853. doi: 10.1007/ s007050170037
- Conci VC (1997) An overview of Allium viruses in Argentina. ISHS Acta Horticulturae 433, 593–600.
- Conci V, Nome SF, Milne RG (1992) Filamentous viruses of garlic in Argentina. *Plant Disease* **76**, 594–596.
- Conci VC, Lunello P, Buraschi D (2002) Variations of *Leek yellow stripe* virus concentration in garlic and its incidence in Argentina. *Plant Disease* 86, 1085–1088.
- Conci VC, Canavelli A, Lunello P (2003) Yield losses associated with virus-infected garlic plants during five successive years. *Plant Disease* 87, 1411–1415.
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 41, 95–98.
- Helguera M (1997) Immunological detection of a GarV-Type virus in Argentine garlic cultivars. *Plant Disease* 81, 1005–1010.
- Jordan R (1989) Mapping of potyvirus-specific and group-common antigenic determinants with monoclonal antibodies by Westernblot analysis and coat protein amino acid sequence comparisons. *Phytopathology* **79**, 1157.
- Langeveld S, Dore J, Memelink J, Derks A, van der Vlugt C, *et al.* (1991) Identification of potyviruses using the polymerase chain reaction with degenerate primers. *The Journal of General Virology* **72**, 1531–1541.
- Lot H (1998) Effects of Onion yellow dwarf and Leek yellow stripe viruses on symptomatology and yield loss of three French garlic cultivars. *Plant Disease* 82, 1381–1385.
- Mackenzie AM, Nolan M, Wei K-J, Clements MA, Gowanlock D, et al. (1998) Ceratobium mosaic potyvirus: another virus from orchids. Archives of Virology 143, 903–914. doi: 10.1007/ s007050050341
- Mohamed NA, Young BR (1981) Garlic yellow streak virus, a potyvirus infecting garlic in New Zealand. *The Annals of Applied Biology* 97, 65–74.
- Pearson MN, Thomas JE, Randles JW (1994) Detection of an unidentified potyvirus from *Roystonea regia* palm using the polymerase chain reaction and degenerate, potyvirus specific, primers and potential problems arising from the amplification of host plant DNA sequences. *Journal of Virological Methods* 50, 211–218. doi: 10.1016/0166-0934(94)90177-5
- Riechmann J, Lain S, Garcia J (1992) Highlights and prospects of potyvirus molecular biology. *The Journal of General Virology* 73, 1–16.
- Shukla DD, Strike PM, Tracy SL, Gough KH, Ward CW (1988) The N and C termini of the coat proteins of potyviruses are surface-located and the N terminus contains the major virus-specific epitopes. *Journal of Virological Methods* **69**, 1497–1508.
- Swofford DL (2000) 'PAUP*: Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (and other methods).' Version 4.0b10. (Sinauer Associates: Sunderland)
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997) The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Research* 25, 4876–4882. doi: 10.1093/nar/ 25.24.4876
- Tsuneyoshi T, Matsumi T, Natsuak KT, Sumi S (1998) Nucleotide sequence analysis of virus isolates indicates the presence of three potyvirus species in *Allium* plants. *Archives of Virology* **143**, 97–113. doi: 10.1007/s007050050271

- Usugi T, Kashiwazaki S, Omura T, Tsuchizaki T (1989) Some properties of nucleic acids and coat proteins of soil-borne filamentous viruses. *Annals of the Phytopathological Society of Japan* **55**, 26–31.
- Van Dijk P (1993) Survey and characterization of potyviruses and their strains of *Allium* species. *Netherlands Journal of Plant Pathology* 99 (suppl. 2), 1–48. doi: 10.1007/BF02017734
- Van Regenmortel MHV, Fauquet CM, Bishop DH, Lal E (2000) 'Virus Taxonomy—Seventh report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses.' (Academic Press: San Diego)
- Walkey DGA, Antill DN (1989) Agronomic evaluation of virus-free and virus-infected garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). *Journal of Horticultural Science* 64, 53–60.
- Walkey DG, Webb MJW, Bolland CJ, Miller A (1987) Production of virus free garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) and shallot (*Allium ascalonicum* L.) by meristem-tip culture. *Journal of Horticultural Science* 62, 211–220.
- Yamashita K, Sakai J, Hanada K (1995) Leek yellow stripe virus (LYSV) isolated from garlic and its relationship to garlic mosaic virus (GMV). Annals of the Phytopathology Society of Japan 61, 273.

Received 8 September 2005, accepted 7 March 2006